

Shanon J. Carson
Russell D. Paul (NJ Bar No. 037411989)
Lawrence Deutsch
E. Michelle Drake
Jacob M. Polakoff
BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C.
1622 Locust Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: (215) 875-4656
Facsimile: (215) 875-4604
Email: scarson@bm.net
rpaul@bm.net
ldeutsch@bm.net
emdrake@bm.net
jpolakoff@bm.net

Counsel for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

LOU ANDREOZZI and LISA
ANDREOZZI, on behalf of themselves and
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. _____

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiffs Lou Andreozzi and Lisa Andreozzi (together, “Plaintiffs”), through their undersigned counsel, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, bring this action against Defendant Weyerhaeuser Company (“Weyerhaeuser” or “Defendant”). In support hereof, Plaintiffs allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiffs bring this class action against Weyerhaeuser individually and on behalf of all persons and entities who own or who have signed contracts to purchase homes or other structures located in the State of New Jersey and across the United States in which Weyerhaeuser's TJI Joists with Flak Jacket Protection (the "Joists") are or were installed (the "Class").

2. This lawsuit arises out of damages sustained by Plaintiffs and the Class that were proximately caused by Weyerhaeuser's defective Joists used in the construction of Plaintiffs' and Class members' homes and other structures.

3. Weyerhaeuser manufactured the defective Joists, and since at least December 2016, sold and distributed the Joists throughout New Jersey and the United States for installation in homes and other structures. At all times, Weyerhaeuser marketed and represented the Joists to include "[a]ll the quality and cost-efficiency you expect from [Weyerhaeuser's] Trus Joist engineered lumber products." Weyerhaeuser touted the Joists to be "[e]xtremely durable," "not requir[ing] special handling or storage." Weyerhaeuser sold the Joists with a fully transferable warranty that warranted against "manufacturing defects" and remained in effect for "the lifetime of the structure."

4. Despite these representations that were uniformly made to all customers, however, the Joists are defectively designed and defectively manufactured, such that they emit noxious and toxic gases that are harmful to humans. The Joists' "Flak Jacket" coating includes a formaldehyde-based resin that results in the "off-gassing" of formaldehyde far in excess of acceptable levels and causes other serious air quality issues.

5. Short-term human exposure to formaldehyde for periods as short as 15 minutes has been shown to cause respiratory irritation, headaches, coughing, dizziness, and nausea. Chronic

and long-term exposure to formaldehyde is linked to increased risk of cancer of the nose and sinuses, nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal cancer, lung cancer, and leukemia. Formaldehyde also causes burning eyes, nose and throat irritation and joint pain. It has also been linked to the exacerbation of asthma in formaldehyde-sensitive individuals and poses a particularly acute risk to children.

6. The defective nature of the Joists is so severe that Plaintiffs' and Class members' homes and other structures are uninhabitable. The Joists require immediate repair, removal and/or replacement.

7. Recognizing the serious, dangerous problems with the Joists, Weyerhaeuser "has halted all production, sales and shipments of the product."¹ Occupants of homes containing the Joists have been advised to vacate their residences.

8. Plaintiffs seek to recover, for themselves and the Class, all costs associated with repairing, removing and/or replacing the Joists, and all costs of repairing any related damage to other property. Plaintiffs and the Class also seek damages for diminution of the value and future value of their homes, and all out-of-pocket expenses related to dealing with these problems, including, without limitation, delays in settlement and relocation expenses, as well as time spent away from work to address these issues. Plaintiffs also seek injunctive relief requiring Weyerhaeuser to pay for ongoing monitoring of the formaldehyde levels in Plaintiffs' and Class Members' homes and for appropriate medical monitoring. Plaintiffs further seek a Court Order requiring Weyerhaeuser to modify its warranty claims process to uniformly provide relief in

¹ <http://investor.weyerhaeuser.com/2017-07-18-Weyerhaeuser-issues-statement-regarding-TJI-R-Joists-with-Flak-Jacket-R-Protection> (last visited 8/1/17).

accordance with all of its obligations under the law, and a declaration from the Court concerning the defective nature of the Joists.

PARTIES

Plaintiffs Lou Andreozzi and Lisa Andreozzi

9. Plaintiffs Lou Andreozzi and Lisa Andreozzi have signed an agreement of sale to purchase property in New Jersey containing the Joists.

Defendant Weyerhaeuser Company

10. Defendant Weyerhaeuser Company (“Defendant” or “Weyerhaeuser”) is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business located at 220 Occidental Ave. S., Seattle, WA 98104. Weyerhaeuser is one of the world’s largest forest products companies, controlling 13.1 million acres of timberlands, primarily in the United States, and managing additional timberlands under long-term licenses in Canada. In 2016, Weyerhaeuser generated over \$6.3 billion in sales.

11. The Andreozzis agreed to purchase a custom-built home in New Jersey, and have signed an agreement of sale for their new home.

12. The Andreozzis have already paid in excess of \$100,000 as a deposit on the home.

13. The home is largely constructed but has been constructed using the Joists.

14. Prior to the disclosure of the formaldehyde problems with the Joists, the Andreozzis expected to be able to occupy their new home in October 2017.

15. The Andreozzis have now been informed that, as a result of the problems with the Joists and the need for replacement and/or remediation, their ability to occupy the home will be significantly delayed.

16. The delay in the ability to occupy their new home will cause the Andreozzis financial damage because it will hinder the Andreozzis' ability to sell and/or rent their residence in New Jersey, as they will be unable to move out at the time they had planned. The Andreozzis will either have to delay the sale of their current home or forego rental income on that home as a result of the construction delays.

17. The delay in the Andreozzis ability to occupy their new home will also cause the Andreozzis financial damage because the Andreozzis have purchased custom furniture for their new home. Because of the delay in closing as a result of the Joists, the Andreozzis will incur charges to store the furniture.

18. The presence of the Joists, even if removed, will diminish the resale value of the Andreozzis new home due to prospective buyers' concerns about formaldehyde toxicity.

19. The presence of the Joists, even if removed, will necessitate ongoing testing and monitoring to ensure that the home is habitable and does not have dangerous levels of formaldehyde.

20. Medical monitoring for the Andreozzis may also be necessary in the event that the Joists are remediated but remain in the home, or in the event that formaldehyde residue and other noxious and toxic gases remain in the home even after the Joists are removed.

21. The total purchase price of the Andreozzis new home has already been contractually agreed. The home has been custom built and the Andreozzis have paid for custom furniture to be installed in the home at the time of occupancy.

22. The Andreozzis' purchase agreement provides that in the event of their default, the seller would be able to retain at least a significant portion of the amounts already paid by the

Andreozzis and potentially additional amounts paid by the Andreozzis for upgrades and options as liquidated damages.

23. The Andreozzis have already incurred, and will continue to incur, time and expenses in dealing with the problems caused by the Joists.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

24. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(d). The matter in controversy in this class action exceeds \$5,000,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs, and Plaintiffs and members of the Class are citizens of a state other than the state in which Defendant is incorporated and has its primary place of business.

25. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because: (a) a substantial part of the events giving rise to this action occurred in this District; and (b) the property that is the subject of this action is located in this District.

26. As a result of Defendant marketing, distributing, promoting, and selling the Joists throughout New Jersey, either directly or indirectly through third parties or related entities, Defendant obtained the benefits of the laws of New Jersey and profited from New Jersey commerce.

27. Defendant conducted systematic and continuous business activities throughout the State of New Jersey and otherwise intentionally availed itself of the market in New Jersey through the promotion, marketing and sale of its products, including the Joists. The Joists have been installed in at least hundreds of homes throughout New Jersey.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

I. Weyerhaeuser Misrepresented The Joists' Characteristics And Breached Its Warranties To The Class

28. Weyerhaeuser's TJI Joists with Flak Jacket Protection are part of its Trus Joist floor system, which, according to Weyerhaeuser, is a result of "[m]ore than 50 years of wood research and technology." Weyerhaeuser has represented that "a survey of builders" determined that "TJI joists were the number one brand in quality, familiarity and usage."

29. Flak Jacket is a Weyerhaeuser "proprietary, factory-applied coating" that purportedly "enhances the joist's fire resistance."

30. Weyerhaeuser owns and controls the formula and specifications for the Flak Jacket coating, and at all times oversaw and was responsible for the development and manufacture of the TJI Joists with Flak Jacket Protection.

31. Since at least December 1, 2016, Weyerhaeuser has coated the Joists with a Flak Jacket coating that includes a formaldehyde-based resin.

32. Weyerhaeuser has sold or distributed the Joists throughout New Jersey and the United States for installation in homes and other structures, including homes that reside families, senior citizens and children.

33. Marketing materials obtained from Weyerhaeuser's own website represent that its Joists "offer the high-performance flooring [consumers] rely on with the fire-resistance that new regulations require," "do[] not require special handling," and are "backed by Weyerhaeuser support."

34. Weyerhaeuser's sales brochures and marketing literature, that were widely distributed to building professionals who installed the Joists, and that were available to Plaintiffs and the Class at the time of sale, similarly tout the superior characteristics of the Joists.

35. Plaintiffs and the Class, and their builders, relied on Weyerhaeuser's representations and advertising concerning the Joists when they purchased the Joists.

36. Weyerhaeuser widely advertises that its Joists carry a lifetime warranty. Building professionals and consumers appropriately and reasonably interpret Weyerhaeuser's warranty and representations to mean that the product should not need to be replaced during the lifetime of a home or other structure in which the Joists are installed.

37. Weyerhaeuser's lifetime warranty is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. The warranty guarantees against "manufacturing defects for the lifetime of the structure." The warranty also states that "Weyerhaeuser will pay reasonable cost of labor and material for the repair or replacement of the covered Joists, not to exceed 3 times the original purchase price of the Joist."

38. Given that the Joists need to be immediately repaired, removed and/or replaced, the Joists have not lived up to Weyerhaeuser's representations and warranties.

II. Weyerhaeuser's Joists Are Defective

39. Because of a defect in the design, formulation, and manufacture of the Joists, the Joists emit excessive levels of noxious and toxic gases. These dangerous gases render the homes and other structures in which the Joists are installed uninhabitable, and pose a serious safety risk to those who enter these home and other structures.

40. These defects have manifested themselves uniformly in the Joists installed in the homes and other structures of Plaintiffs and the Class.

41. At present, there are thousands of Class members, including Plaintiffs, whose homes and other structures incorporate Weyerhaeuser's defective Joists and who have observed or otherwise experienced the uniform defects described herein.

42. Some of the homes and structures at issue have already been subject to testing by builders and customers, that evidences the defect with the Joists, as described herein.

43. As a direct result of the readily observable and uniform defects inherent in the Joists, Weyerhaeuser has halted all production, sales and shipments of the Joists and now refers to the Joists as “temporarily discontinued.”

III. The Remedies Provided By Weyerhaeuser’s Warranty Are Inadequate

44. Weyerhaeuser’s warranty is grossly inadequate considering the uniform serious problem with the Joists and the immediate safety risks they present to humans. Weyerhaeuser’s warranty purportedly limits Plaintiffs’ and Class members’ recovery to the “reasonable cost of labor and material for the repair or replacement of the covered Joists, not to exceed 3 times the original purchase price of the Joist.” In fact, the repair, removal and/or replacement of already-installed Joists costs far in excess of three times the purchase price of the Joists alone.

45. Weyerhaeuser’s failure to appropriately address on a Class-wide basis the defects inherent in its Joists, that have foreseeably resulted in the problems described herein, constitutes a breach of its express warranties to Plaintiffs and the Class. Moreover, Weyerhaeuser’s affirmative representations as to the quality of its defective Joists constitute an actionable misrepresentation of material fact.

46. Weyerhaeuser warranted and advertised to contractors, subcontractors, Plaintiffs, and the Class, the quality of its Joists even though it reasonably should have known that the Joists were defectively designed and manufactured.

47. As a direct and proximate result of Weyerhaeuser’s Joists being installed in the homes and other structures of Plaintiffs and the Class, Plaintiffs and the Class have suffered damages, in that the Joists have emitted and will continue to emit noxious and toxic gases that make people sick and render the homes and other structures uninhabitable.

48. To the extent that Weyerhaeuser's warranty purports to limit or eliminate certain contractual rights afforded to Plaintiffs (*e.g.*, on the type of recoverable damages or the ability to recover property damages and other types of damages), such limitations are unconscionable and unenforceable under the circumstances.

IV. The Remedies Purportedly Offered By Weyerhaeuser are Insufficient

49. In a July 18, 2017 press release, Weyerhaeuser admitted the defective nature of the Joists was caused by the Joists' "Flak Jacket coating that included formaldehyde-based resin." In the same press release, Weyerhaeuser asserted that it was "working proactively with its customers to address this situation and will cover the cost to either remediate or replace affected joists." However, its remediation and replacement options are insufficient and will not make Plaintiffs and the Class whole.

50. For example, with respect to Weyerhaeuser's purported remediation option, Weyerhaeuser has proposed simply applying a paint coating to the Joists, which Weyerhaeuser asserts will bind the formaldehyde and reduce emissions. While Weyerhaeuser maintains that it has successfully tested this method, there is no proof that this technique is effective in the field, and based on consultation with experts, this proposed method of remediation (which would be a much cheaper fix by Weyerhaeuser) has already been rejected by professionals in the building trades. Even after submitting to this remediation method, Plaintiffs and Class members may still be exposed to harmful gases emitting from the Joists. Additionally, the value of the homes and other structures of Plaintiffs and the Class will be permanently diminished by the continued presence of the defective Joists.

51. Likewise, Weyerhaeuser proposed replacement option also fails to adequately address and cover the numerous complications and ancillary costs involved. For example,

Weyerhaeuser has told owners of homes with the defective Joists that they will be responsible for retaining their own engineers to confirm the structural integrity of homes once the Joists are replaced.

52. Plaintiffs and Class Members deserve full compensation for the delay in their ability to occupy their homes, including, without limitation, reimbursement for the expense and inconvenience of having to deal with this issue, including, without limitation, finding alternative living arrangements on short notice, and all of the time and associated expenses.

53. Class Members who have already moved into homes containing the Joists have been subjected to numerous other harms as a result of the defective Joists, including, without limitation, headaches, stinging and tearing eyes, dizziness, nausea, coughing and wheezing, and asthma-type symptoms.

54. In addition, formaldehyde is a known carcinogen, meaning it contributes to causing cancer in humans.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

55. This action has been brought and may be properly maintained as a nationwide class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, on behalf of the following class:

All persons and entities who own or who have signed contracts to purchase homes or other structures located in the United States in which Weyerhaeuser TJI Joists with Flak Jacket Protection are or were installed (the "Class"). Excluded from the Class is Defendant and Defendant's legal representatives, assigns and successors.

56. This action has also been brought and may be properly maintained as a New Jersey class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 on behalf of the New Jersey Class defined as follows:

All persons and entities who own or who have signed contracts to purchase homes or other structures located in the State of New Jersey in which Weyerhaeuser TJI Joists with Flak Jacket Protection are or were installed (the "New Jersey Class").

Excluded from the Class is Defendant and Defendant's legal representatives, assigns and successors.

57. The Class and New Jersey Class are collectively referred to below as the "Classes." Plaintiffs reserve the right to redefine the Classes prior to class certification.

58. Members of the Classes are so numerous that their individual joinder is impracticable. While the precise number is unknown at this time, upon information and belief, both proposed Classes are comprised of hundreds or thousands of members. The true number of Class members is known by Defendant and discoverable through its books and records.

59. There are numerous questions of law and fact common to Plaintiffs and the Classes, that predominate over any questions that may affect individual Class members, including, but not limited to:

- a) whether the Joists are defective;
- b) whether the Joists are subject to emitting formaldehyde-based and other harmful and/or toxic gases, and are not suitable for use as advertised, marketed and warranted;
- c) whether Weyerhaeuser knew or should have known of the defective nature of the Joists prior to putting them into the stream of commerce for purchase by Plaintiffs and the Classes;
- d) whether Weyerhaeuser properly and timely provided notice and advised all affected consumers about the problems with the Joists;
- e) whether Weyerhaeuser owed a duty to Plaintiffs and the Classes to exercise reasonable and ordinary care in the formulation, testing, design, manufacture, warranting, distribution, marketing, and sale of the Joists;
- f) whether Weyerhaeuser breached its duty to Plaintiffs and the Classes by designing, manufacturing, advertising, and selling to Plaintiffs and the Class members defective

Joists, and by failing promptly to remove the Joists from the marketplace or take other appropriate remedial action;

g) whether the Joists will continue to exhibit the defect over time;

h) whether the Joists will continue to exhibit the defect over time despite proposed remediation remedies such as Weyerhaeuser's proposed "paint protocol";

i) whether the Joists fail to perform in accordance with the reasonable expectations of ordinary consumers;

j) whether the Joists fail to perform as advertised, marketed and warranted;

k) whether Weyerhaeuser breached its express warranties to Plaintiffs and the Classes by advertising, marketing and selling defective Joists to Plaintiffs and the Classes;

l) whether Weyerhaeuser breached its implied warranties to Plaintiffs and the Classes by advertising, marketing and selling Joists that were not of a merchantable quality, and that were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which they were sold;

m) whether Plaintiffs and the Classes are entitled to compensatory damages, and the amount of such damages for the removal and replacement of the defective Joists;

n) whether Plaintiffs and the Classes are entitled to consequential and ancillary damages relating to the defective Joists;

o) whether Plaintiffs and the Classes are entitled to ongoing testing and monitoring of the formaldehyde levels in their homes and of their exposure to formaldehyde;

p) whether Weyerhaeuser's representations regarding the quality of its Joists, and its omissions and concealment of facts to the contrary regarding the defective Joists, constitute violations of applicable consumer protection laws and other applicable statutes; and

q) whether Weyerhaeuser should be required to notify all Class members about the defective Joists.

60. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Classes. As a result of the uniform defects inherent in the Joists' formulation, the defective Joists have caused Plaintiffs and all members of the Classes to suffer damages.

61. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Classes. Plaintiffs have retained counsel with substantial experience in prosecuting nationwide, multistate and state law consumer class actions. Plaintiffs and their counsel are committed to prosecuting this action vigorously on behalf of the Classes they represent, and have the financial resources to do so. Neither Plaintiffs nor their counsel have any interest adverse or antagonistic to those of the Classes.

62. Plaintiffs and the members of the Classes have all suffered and will continue to suffer harm and damages as a result of Weyerhaeuser's conduct as described herein. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Absent a class action, the vast majority of Class members likely would not be in a position to litigate their claims individually and would have no effective remedy at law through which to vindicate their claims against Weyerhaeuser and be made whole. Class treatment of predominating common questions of law and fact is also superior to multiple individual actions, in that class treatment would conserve the resources of the courts and the litigants, and will further the efficient adjudication of Class members' claims.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I **BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY**

63. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

64. Weyerhaeuser marketed and sold its Joists into the stream of commerce with the intent that the Joists would be purchased by contractors, subcontractors and end-users for installation in homes and other structures owned and purchased by Plaintiffs and the Classes.

65. Weyerhaeuser expressly warranted in writing that its Joists are well-suited as a building material with a useful life matching the lifetime of the structure in which the Joists are installed. For purchasers of the Joists or of homes and other structures with the Joists, these warranties became part of the basis of the bargain and Plaintiffs and Class members relied upon the representations and warranties.

66. Pursuant to Weyerhaeuser's express warranty, Weyerhaeuser is to pay costs for repair or replacement of the defective Joists. In exchange for these duties and obligations, Weyerhaeuser received payment of the purchase price for the Joists from Plaintiffs and the Classes.

67. Weyerhaeuser created additional express warranties for the Joists through its sales brochures, catalogs, website, and marketing materials. These warranties have full force and effect, notwithstanding any limitations in the "limited warranties" from Weyerhaeuser.

68. Weyerhaeuser made the express warranties to the ultimate consumers, including Plaintiffs and the Classes.

69. The limitations and exclusions in Weyerhaeuser's warranties are unconscionable and unenforceable.

70. The consequential or incidental losses sustained by Plaintiffs and the Classes are within the contemplation of the parties, and therefore should not be prohibited when such bargained for remedy fails of its essential purpose.

71. Weyerhaeuser's purported "limited warranty" fails of its essential purpose in that it limits recovery to a multiple of the purchase price of the Joists themselves when adequate repair, replacement and/or removal of the Joists will cost far in excess of the limited amount.

72. Because Weyerhaeuser's warranty fails in its essential purpose, Plaintiffs and the Classes are entitled to recover available damages.

73. Weyerhaeuser's Joists were defective at the time they were acquired by Plaintiffs and members of the Classes, and they were defective at the time they were acquired by Plaintiffs' builders.

74. Weyerhaeuser failed to perform as required under its purported warranties and breached said contracts and agreements by providing Plaintiffs and the Classes with Joists that were defective and unfit for their intended use and did not perform as promised, and failed to appropriately replace the Joists or otherwise provide relief.

75. The Joists fall well short of the lifetime guarantee as their defective nature is evident immediately upon installation of the Joists or occupation of the structure.

76. Weyerhaeuser breached its express warranties to Plaintiffs and the Classes by designing, manufacturing, marketing, and selling Joists that were defective and not fit for their intended use as durable and long-term home building products. As detailed herein, the Joists did not perform as expressly promised and were fraught with uniform defects.

77. Weyerhaeuser knew that its Joists were defective, yet continued to represent that they were free of defects. Plaintiffs and members of the Classes had no ability to detect the defect nor received notice thereof, and did not receive notice on a timely basis. Based on facts within its control, Weyerhaeuser knew or should have known of the defective nature of the Joists long before its July 18, 2017 press release.

78. Plaintiffs and the Classes have relied on Weyerhaeuser's express warranties to their detriment.

79. Weyerhaeuser's warranty coverage is inadequate to cover all of the costs of repairing, replacing and/or removing the defective Joists from the homes and structures of Plaintiffs and the Classes, and does not compensate Plaintiff and the Classes for any damages to their underlying homes and structures caused by the defective Joists, for their consequential and ancillary damages, and for the diminution in the value of their homes and structures.

80. Weyerhaeuser is on actual notice of its breaches, as Weyerhaeuser noted in its own press release that the Joists are defective. In addition, builders and consumers across the United States have put Weyerhaeuser on notice of its breaches. Furthermore, Plaintiffs provided notice to Weyerhaeuser of its breaches prior to filing this lawsuit.

81. As a result of Weyerhaeuser's breach of its express warranties, Plaintiffs and the Class have suffered actual damages, in that they have purchased and installed in their homes and other structures Joists that are defective and not at all suitable for their intended purpose. These defects have caused and will continue to cause Plaintiffs and the Classes to expend substantial resources repairing and/or replacing their Joists and to address any collateral damages to their underlying homes and structures proximately caused by the defective Joists.

82. Plaintiffs and the Classes reserve their right to seek all damages available by statute or law.

COUNT II
VIOLATION OF THE NEW JERSEY PRODUCTS LIABILITY ACT
DESIGN AND MANUFACTURING DEFECT
(N.J.S.A. 2A:58C-2, et seq.)

83. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

84. Weyerhaeuser is the designer, manufacturer, distributor, marketer, and seller of the Joists, which are defective and unreasonably dangerous to consumers.

85. Weyerhaeuser designed its Joists to be used and installed in Plaintiffs' and the Class members' homes and other structures.

86. Weyerhaeuser's Joists are defective in design in that they are not reasonably fit, suitable or safe for their intended purpose and/or their foreseeable risks exceed the benefits associated with their design.

87. Feasible design alternatives existed to make Weyerhaeuser's Joists safer for their intended use at the time of their design.

88. Weyerhaeuser manufactured its Joists to be used and installed in Plaintiffs' and Class member's homes and other structures.

89. Weyerhaeuser's Joists were defectively and improperly manufactured rendering the products deficient and unreasonably dangerous and hazardous to Plaintiffs and Class members.

90. The defective condition of Weyerhaeuser's Joists rendered them unreasonably dangerous, and the Joists were in this defective condition when they left the hands of the Defendant.

91. Weyerhaeuser's Joists were expected to and did reach consumers, including, Plaintiffs and Class members, without substantial change in the conditions in which they were designed, manufactured, labeled, sold, distributed, marketed and otherwise released into the stream of commerce.

92. Plaintiffs and Class members were unaware of the hazards and defects associated with Weyerhaeuser's Joists and used the products in a manner that was intended by Defendant.

93. Weyerhaeuser is strictly liable to Plaintiffs and Class members for designing, manufacturing and placing into the stream of commerce products that were unreasonably dangerous for their reasonably foreseeable uses at the time they left the control of Defendant because of both design and manufacturing defects.

94. Weyerhaeuser knew or should have known of the dangers associated with the use of the Joists and the defective nature of those products but continued to design, manufacture and sell them in disregard of the known risk of harm, and the safety risk to humans.

95. As a direct and proximate cause of the design and manufacturing defects and Weyerhaeuser's misconduct, Plaintiffs and Class members have incurred and will incur damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

COUNT III
VIOLATION OF THE NEW JERSEY PRODUCTS LIABILITY ACT
FAILURE TO WARN
(N.J.S.A. 2A:58C-2, et seq.)

96. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

97. Weyerhaeuser designed, manufactured, distributed, marketed, sold and otherwise released the Joists into the stream of commerce in a defective and unreasonably dangerous condition to consumers and therefore had a duty to warn users of the known risks associated with the Joists.

98. When Plaintiffs and members of the Classes bought homes or other structures containing the Joists, they were not aware of the dangerous nature of the Joists. Weyerhaeuser knew or had reason to know that those consumers would not realize the dangerous condition of the Joists.

99. Weyerhaeuser did not provide, and Weyerhaeuser's Joists did not contain, adequate warnings, and as a result, the Joists were unreasonably dangerous to the consuming public, including Plaintiff and members of the Classes.

100. The defect in the Joists, including the lack of warnings, existed at the time the Joists were sold and/or when the Joists left Weyerhaeuser's possession or control.

101. Weyerhaeuser's Joists were expected to be and were installed in consumers' homes and other structures, including the homes of Plaintiff and members of the Classes, without substantial change in their condition from the time of their manufacture or sale.

102. Weyerhaeuser is liable for the damage that its defective Joists and its lack of warnings have caused and will cause Plaintiffs and Class members. Such harm would not have been suffered if Weyerhaeuser had provided adequate warnings or instructions.

103. Based on facts within its control, Weyerhaeuser had knowledge or should have had knowledge of the defect long before its July 18, 2017 press release concerning the Joists.

104. As a direct and proximate cause of the design and manufacturing defects and Weyerhaeuser's misconduct, Plaintiff and Class members have incurred and will incur damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

COUNT IV
VIOLATION OF THE MAGNUSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT
(15 U.S.C. § 2301, et seq)

105. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

106. The Joists are a consumer product as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 2301(1).

107. Weyerhaeuser is a supplier and a warrantor as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 2301(4) & (5).

108. The warranty that came with the products constitutes a “written warranty” under 15 U.S.C. § 2301(6)(A) and/or (B).

109. Plaintiffs and the Class members are “consumers” as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 2301(3). They are consumers because: (a) they are buyers of a consumer product; (b) they are persons entitled under applicable state law to enforce against the warrantor the obligations of its implied warranty; and (c) they are entitled to enforce a written warranty.

110. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 2310(e), although Weyerhaeuser is on written notice of the problems with the Joists and Plaintiffs’ claims, Plaintiffs and the Classes are entitled to bring this class action and are not required to give Weyerhaeuser notice and opportunity to cure until such time as the Court determines the representative capacity of Plaintiffs pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

111. Weyerhaeuser is liable to Plaintiffs and the Class members pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 2310(d)(1), because it breached its written warranties as set forth above.

112. In connection with its sales of the Joists, Weyerhaeuser gave an implied warranty as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 2301(7); namely, the implied warranty of merchantability. As a part of the implied warranty of merchantability, Weyerhaeuser warranted that the Joists, among other things, were fit for their ordinary purpose as a safe building product that complies with all applicable laws and regulations. Weyerhaeuser is liable to Plaintiffs and the Class pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 2310(d)(1), because it breached the implied warranty of merchantability as set forth herein.

113. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 2310(d)(1), Plaintiffs and the Class members are entitled to recover the following damages proximately caused by Weyerhaeuser’s breaches of its written warranties and the implied warranty of merchantability: (a) direct economic damages at the point

of sale in the amount of the difference in value between the value of the Joists as warranted (the full purchase price) and the value of the Joists as delivered (\$0); and (b) consequential economic damages at the point of repair in the form of the cost of repair, replacement and/or removal of the Joists.

114. In addition, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 2310(d)(2), Plaintiffs and the Class members are entitled to recover a sum equal to the aggregate amount of costs and expenses (including attorneys' fees based on actual time expended) determined by the Court to have been reasonably incurred by Plaintiffs and the Class members in connection with the commencement and prosecution of this action.

COUNT V
VIOLATION OF THE NEW JERSEY CONSUMER FRAUD ACT
(N.J.S.A. § 56:8-1, et seq.)

115. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

116. Plaintiffs and Class members are "persons" as defined by N.J.S.A. §56:8-1.

117. Weyerhaeuser's practices, acts, policies, and course of conduct, as described herein, were intended to induce, and did induce, contractors, subcontractors, Plaintiffs and Class members to purchase the Joists.

118. Weyerhaeuser manufactured and sold the Joists knowingly concealing and/or omitting that they contained the design, manufacturing, materials and/or workmanship defects described in detail above.

119. Weyerhaeuser's practices, acts, policies, and course of conduct are actionable in that:

a. Weyerhaeuser actively and knowingly misrepresented to and/or omitted from contractors, subcontractors, Plaintiffs and Class members at the time of purchase of the Joists and thereafter, the design, manufacturing, materials and/or workmanship defects of the Joists, and represented that the Joists were merchantable and were not defective; and

b. Weyerhaeuser failed to give adequate warnings and notices regarding the use of, defects in, and problems with the Joists to consumers who purchased the Joists, despite the fact that Weyerhaeuser possessed prior knowledge of the inherent defects in the Joists.

120. Weyerhaeuser failed to disclose to Plaintiffs and Class members and/or actively concealed from them that the Joists were and are defective, amounting to deceptive conduct under the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act.

121. Weyerhaeuser's actions and/or omissions caused and/or will cause Plaintiffs and Class members to be damaged.

122. All of the aforementioned conduct is and was deceptive, false and constitutes an unconscionable commercial practice in that Weyerhaeuser has, by the use of false or deceptive statements and/or material omissions, misrepresented and/or concealed the true defective nature of the Joists, in violation of N.J.S.A. § 56:8-2.

123. Weyerhaeuser intended that purchasers rely on its misrepresentations and omissions concerning the quality of the Joists in purchasing the Joists.

124. In making misrepresentations of fact and/or material omissions about the Joists to prospective customers while knowing such representations to be false, Weyerhaeuser has misrepresented and/or knowingly and intentionally concealed material facts and breached its duty not to do so.

125. Plaintiffs, Class members, contractors, subcontractors and members of the public were deceived by and relied on Weyerhaeuser's affirmative misrepresentations and failures to disclose, including, but not limited to, representations about the Joists' quality and warranty benefits.

126. There was a causal nexus between Weyerhaeuser's deceptive and unconscionable commercial practices and Plaintiffs and Class members being damaged as alleged herein, and therefore, Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled to recover actual, statutory, punitive and/or trebled damages to the extent permitted by law in an amount to be proven at trial.

127. In addition, Plaintiffs and Class members seek the diminished value of their Joists, based on the difference between what was paid for the Joists and what would have been paid, had Weyerhaeuser disclosed the defective nature of the Joists.

128. Plaintiffs and Class members also seek restitution of all monies that Weyerhaeuser received as a result of selling the Joists with inherent defects at the time of purchase, and by forcing Class members to expend substantial sums of money to deal with the issues relating to the Joists, including, without limitation, for the proper repair, removal and/or replacement of the Joists, despite the fact that Weyerhaeuser had prior knowledge of the Joists' defects.

129. In addition, Plaintiffs and Class members seek reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT VI
UNJUST ENRICHMENT

130. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

131. Substantial benefits have been conferred on Weyerhaeuser by Plaintiffs and the Class by purchasing the Joists, and Weyerhaeuser has knowingly and willingly accepted and enjoyed these benefits.

132. Weyerhaeuser either knew or should have known that the payments rendered by Plaintiffs and the Class were given and received with the expectation that the Joists would perform as represented and warranted. For Weyerhaeuser to retain the benefit of the payments under these circumstances is inequitable.

133. Weyerhaeuser's acceptance and retention of these benefits under the circumstances make it inequitable for Weyerhaeuser to retain the benefit without payment of the value to the Plaintiffs and the Class.

134. Plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to recover from Weyerhaeuser all amounts wrongfully collected and improperly retained by Weyerhaeuser, plus interest thereon.

135. As a direct and proximate result of Weyerhaeuser's wrongful conduct and unjust enrichment, Plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to an accounting, restitution from and institution of a constructive trust disgorging all profits, benefits and other compensation obtained by Weyerhaeuser, plus attorneys' fees, costs and interest thereon.

COUNT VII
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACT
(28 U.S.C. § 2201, *et seq.*)

136. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

137. Declaratory relief is intended to minimize "the danger of avoidable loss and unnecessary accrual of damages." 10B Charles Alan Wright, Arthur R. Miller & Mary Kay Kane, Federal Practice and Procedure § 2751 (3d ed. 1998).

138. There is an actual controversy between Weyerhaeuser and Plaintiffs concerning:

- a) whether the Joists are defectively designed;
- b) whether Weyerhaeuser knew or should have known of the defects; and

c) whether Weyerhaeuser failed to warn against the potential unsuitability of its defectively designed and manufactured Joists.

139. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, the Court may “declare the rights and legal relations of any interested party seeking such declaration, whether or not further relief is or could be sought.”

140. Plaintiffs seek a declaration that the Joists are defective in their design, workmanship, materials, and labeling, as alleged herein. The defective nature of the Joists is material and requires disclosure to all persons who own the Joists or have entered into agreements or contracts to purchase homes containing the Joists.

141. The declaratory relief requested herein will generate common answers that will settle the controversy related to the alleged defective design, workmanship, materials, and labeling of the Joists and the reasons for their failure. There is an economy to resolving these issues as they have the potential to eliminate the need for continued and repeated litigation.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the proposed Classes, respectfully request that the Court grant the following relief:

A. Enter an Order certifying the proposed Classes, appointing Plaintiffs as Class Representatives, and appointing the undersigned counsel as Class Counsel;

B. Declare that Weyerhaeuser must notify all Class members of the problems with the Joists;

C. Enter an Order enjoining Weyerhaeuser from further deceptive advertising, marketing, distribution, and sales practices with respect to the Joists, and requiring Weyerhaeuser to remove and replace Plaintiffs’ and Class members’ Joists with suitable alternative joists of Plaintiffs’ and Class members’ choosing;

D. Enter an award to Plaintiffs and the Class that includes all actual, compensatory, consequential, ancillary, statutory, exemplary, punitive, or other damages as allowed by New Jersey law or other law, and statutory damages and penalties, including interest thereon, in an amount to be proven at trial;

E. Declare that Weyerhaeuser must account for and disgorge, for the benefit of the Class, all or part of the ill-gotten profits it received from the sale of its Joists, or order Weyerhaeuser to make full restitution to Plaintiffs and members of the Classes;

F. Award pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum rate allowable by law;

G. Award reasonable attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs incurred by Plaintiffs and their counsel in connection with this action; and

H. Award such other and further injunctive relief, including ongoing monitoring of Class members homes, and medical monitoring, as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the members of the proposed Classes, hereby demand trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: August 4, 2017

/s/ Russell Paul
Russell D. Paul (NJ Bar No. 037411989)
Shanon J. Carson
Lawrence Deutsch
E. Michelle Drake
Jacob M. Polakoff
BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C.
1622 Locust Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: (215) 875-4656
Facsimile: (215) 875-4604
Email: scarson@bm.net
rpaul@bm.net
ldeutsch@bm.net

emdrake@bm.net
jpolakoff@bm.net

Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Classes

Exhibit A

LIMITED LIFETIME WARRANTY

Trus Joist® TJI® Joist

What Is Covered?

Weyerhaeuser Trus Joist® TJI® or Silent Floor® joists (Joists), when properly stored, installed as floor or roof joists in a structure and maintained per Weyerhaeuser's published instructions, is warranted by Weyerhaeuser NR Company (Weyerhaeuser) for adequacy of design values as published by Weyerhaeuser and against delamination or manufacturing defects for the lifetime of the structure. This limited warranty is transferable.

Definition of Covered Conditions

Delamination is defined as an extensive separation of strands within the OSB web, or extensive separation of the LVL flange material veneers. Minor localized edge checking or loose strands on the surface of the OSB web do not constitute delamination, nor does minor edge separation of the LVL veneers. This warranty does not cover the performance of Joists outside the U.S. and Canada, nor delamination, separation, perceived inadequacy of design, or perceived defects due to:

- Prolonged exposure to water after completion of construction or long construction delays; fire, floods, fungal growth, natural disaster, or any other cause beyond Weyerhaeuser's control.
- Defects in the structure due to construction, installation, or manufactured sub-assembly.
- Damage to the product prior to, during, or after installation.
- Noncompliance with installation instructions, applicable building code or generally accepted construction practices.
- Any alterations to the Joists after the original installation.
- Mold, fungal decay or rot; termites or termite damage.
- Pressure or topical treatment not approved by Weyerhaeuser.

Note: Like any wood product, all wood-based joists may be at risk for fungal decay or rot when exposed to repeated wetting or high-moisture environments, particularly if not properly ventilated or subjected to water leaks. For this reason, building construction, design and use features must ensure that the Joists are protected from such exposure by accepted construction practices and adherence to applicable building codes.

What Weyerhaeuser Will Do

For delamination, strand or component separation, inadequacy of design values (as published) or manufacturing defect covered by this warranty, Weyerhaeuser will pay reasonable cost of labor and material for the repair or replacement of the covered Joists, not to exceed 3 times the original purchase price of the Joist.

What You Must Do

You must notify Weyerhaeuser in writing of any claim under this warranty within 30 days of the discovery of covered condition at the following address:

Weyerhaeuser Product Assurance Group
33663 Weyerhaeuser Way South
Federal Way, Washington 98003
253.924.5214 • 253.924.4999 (fax)

Upon request, you must provide Weyerhaeuser with reasonable proof of product identification in the form of a sample, a photograph of the identifying stamp, or dated receipt. A Weyerhaeuser representative must be given the opportunity to observe or inspect the affected product prior to any alteration, change or repair.

Incidental or Consequential Damages

Weyerhaeuser's sole responsibility is as set forth in this warranty and Weyerhaeuser will not be responsible for incidental, indirect or consequential damages. Some states and provinces do not allow the exclusion or limitation of incidental or consequential damages, so the above limitation or exclusion may not apply to you.

State Law Rights

This warranty gives you specific legal rights, and you may also have other rights which vary from state to state and province to province.

